# A Semantic-Consistent Few-Shot Modulation Recognition Framework for IoT Applications

Jie Su, Peng Sun, Yuting Jiang, Zhenyu Wen<sup>†</sup>, Fangda Guo<sup>†</sup>, Yiming Wu, Zhen Hong, Haoran Duan, Yawen Huang, Rajiv Ranjan, and Yefeng Zheng *Fellow IEEE* 

Abstract—The rapid growth of the Internet of Things (IoT) has led to the widespread adoption of IoT networks in numerous digital applications. To counter physical threats in these systems, automatic modulation classification (AMC) has emerged as an effective approach for identifying the modulation format of signals in noisy environments. However, identifying those threats can be particularly challenging due to the scarcity of labeled data, which is a common issue in various IoT applications such as anomaly detection for unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and intrusion detection in IoT networks. Few-shot learning (FSL) offers a promising solution by enabling models to grasp the concepts of new classes using only a limited number of labeled samples. However, prevalent FSL techniques are primarily tailored for tasks in the computer vision domain and are not suitable for the wireless signal domain. Instead of designing a new FSL model, this work suggests a novel approach that enhances wireless signals to be more efficiently processed by the existing state-of-the-art (SOTA) FSL models. We present the Semantic-Consistent Signal Pre-transformation (ScSP), a parameterized transformation architecture that ensures signals with identical semantics exhibit similar representations. ScSP is designed to integrate seamlessly with various SOTA FSL models for signal modulation recognition and supports commonly used deep learning backbones. Our evaluation indicates that ScSP boosts the performance of numerous state-of-the-art FSL models, all the while preserving flexibility.

## Index Terms—Internet of Things, Deep Learning, Few-shot Learning, Signal Processing

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant 62302454, Grant 62072408, Grant 62302485; the Zhejiang Provincial Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars under Grant LR24F020004; the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation under Grant2023M743403; the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of Major Program (Youth Original Project) under Grant LDQ24F020001; the Key R&D Program of Zhejiang under Grant (No.2024C03288); China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2022M713206) and CAS Special Research Assistant Program (*Corresponding author: Zhenyu Wen, Fangda Guo.*)

Jie Su, Zhenyu Wen, Yiming Wu are with the Institute of Cyberspace Security, and College of Information Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China; University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, China (E-mail: jiesu@zjut.edu.cn, wenluke427@gmail.com, wyiming@zjut.edu.cn)

Peng Sun and Yefeng Zheng are with Westlake University, Hangzhou, China. (e-mail: sp12138sp@gmail.com, yefengzheng@tencent.com)

Yuting Jiang, Zhen Hong, are with the Institute of Cyberspace Security, and College of Information Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310023, China. (e-mail: 221123030164@zjut.edu.cn, zhong1983@zjut.edu.cn)

Fangda Guo is with CAS Key Laboratory of AI Safety, Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China(e-mail: guofangda@ict.ac.cn)

Yawen Huang is with Tencent Jarvis Lab, Shenzhen, China (E-mail: yawenhuang@tencent.com).

Haoran Duan is with the Department of Computer Science, Durham University, UK. E-mail: haoran.duan@ieee.org

Rajiv Ranjan is with the School of Computing Science, Newcastle University, NE1 7RU, Newcastle upon Tyne, U.K. (e-mail: raj.ranjan@ncl.ac.uk)



Fig. 1. An example of an inferior decision boundary in an abnormal unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) detection application when insufficient abnormal radio signals are provided. The inferior decision boundary (in red color) often leads to poor generalization ability for other abnormal UAVs that are presented in training samples. (*Best viewed in color.*)

#### I. INTRODUCTION

T HE BURGEONING field of the Internet of Things (IoT) has garnered immense interest due to its promise of extending internet connectivity to everyday physical objects [1]–[6]. This technology has led to the deployment of a plethora of interconnected devices, permeating both our personal lives and industrial processes. Due to the open nature inherent in IoT devices, these interconnected devices frequently function in environments lacking trust, thereby leaving them vulnerable to numerous active, malicious attacks. Automatic modulation classification (AMC) [7] is the conventional approach to identifying physical-layer threats like anomalous UAV jamming [8] and pilot jamming [9] by determining the modulation type and calculating the related modulation parameters for noise-affected signals within a complex radio environment [10].

Recently, deep learning-based AMC [11]–[13] approaches have achieved decent performance on various applications by learning representations from large-scale labeled datasets. Unfortunately, the situation of few samples or insufficient samples is common in many IoT applications, including but not limited to intrusion detection, anomaly detection, and fault diagnosis. For example, in the city surveillance applications, a model needs to a quick adaptation to detect new or unknown drone models in sensitive areas with limited data. However, the fewshot case present unique challenge as illustrated in Figure 1, the anomaly detection model trained with insufficient signals



Fig. 2. The latent space visualization of the Radio Signal feature embedding space for test samples in the Signal-128 dataset, as reported [16], [17], [18]. The '/ScSP' represents the combination with the proposed Semantic-Consistent Signal Pre-transformation (ScSP) framework. (*Best viewed in color.*)

may generate an inferior decision boundary (in red), overfitting to the existing observed abnormal samples. However, the distribution of real abnormal samples (in gray) is often larger than the observed abnormal samples, making the learned detection model less effective in detecting new abnormal signals from similar UAVs. Alternatively, developing a mechanism that allows the model to learn a superior decision boundary when insufficient samples are provided can significantly improve the robustness and generalization of the AMC system.

In order to address the challenge of label scarcity by increasing the quantity and diversity of samples, various augmentation techniques and meta/metric-based approaches have been proposed, such as AFHN [14], MAML [15], and ProtoNet [16]. These meta/metric-based approaches typically aim to learn an efficient base model from a large dataset and adapt it to few-shot classes using distance measurement or gradient optimization. However, the availability of a substantial base class in the radio signal processing domain is often limited, and the direct application of these approaches may lead to the problem of 'inadequate generalization.' Specifically, the semantic information or signal patterns are not effectively learned by those methods with limited base samples, rendering the few-shot adaptation less generalizable. As depicted in Fig. 2, the conventional few-shot learning framework would suffer from indistinguishable latent problems. The class distribution often exhibits considerable intra-class variation, and the phenomenon of inter-class pattern overlapping obscures the decision boundary, thereby compromising the final classification performance. (see Fig. 2a, 2c, 2e).

Furthermore, the conventional augmentation-based approach primarily relies on spatial transformations or adversarial generation, ensuring semantic/pattern invariance visually. However, in the domain of radio signal processing, signal patterns are often invisible and non-interpretable. The direct adaptation of spatial augmentation approaches could destroy the inherent pattern, thus causing performance degradation. Additionally, in addition to the previously mentioned challenges, inherent signal properties further complicate conventional few-shot learning. These include: 1) Modulated signals often experience **noise interference** during transmission in open environments, complicating the representation learning process. 2) Radio signal data exhibits **distinctive characteristics** such as periodicity and symmetry, which may pose challenges for deep learning models with limited sample sizes.

To address the aforementioned issues, we introduce a pa-

rameterized radio signal transformation framework, Semantic-Consistent Signal Pre-transformation (ScSP). The primary concept behind ScSP involves the extraction of high-density constants, for instance, semantic information/signal pattern, while eliminating non-constant elements like additional noises. This leads to improved intra-class concentration [19] of constants, enhancing the performance of downstream FSL methods. To achieve that, we design a framework to encourage the model to learn meaningful representations that capture invariant (i.e., semantic-consistent) features across augmented versions of the same instance while discriminating against representations from different instances. Specifically, we deeply investigate the signal pattern expression form (e.g., the constellation of the IQ signals) and propose the infopreserved augmentation module to generate diverse augmented signals without modifying its original semantic information (i.e., modulation type). Then, to minimize the noise interference, we present an adaptive noise filtering module that transforms the parameter of the conventional Gaussian noise filter into a learnable layer, enabling it to adaptively capture various patterns of noise. Furthermore, we present an amplitude-Phase feature enhancement module to improve fine-grained/semantic feature extraction with Amplitude-Phase transformation. Finally, the InfoNCE [20] loss is applied to maximize the mutual information of the augmented versions of the same instance so to encourage the consistent pattern extration. Based on our current understanding, ScSP stands as the pioneering framework that addresses few-shot automated modulation recognition by enhancing the pattern expressiveness of signals via expert knowledge-guided pretransformation. Comprehensive experiments and analyses have been conducted, resulting in the following primary insights:

- The current SOTA FSL approaches achieve noteworthy performance improvements on the task of radio signal modulation FSL, by incorporating the suggested ScSP framework.
- We show that the combination of the info-preserved augmentation and the adaptive noise filtering is more suitable under high noise conditions, while the entire ScSP framework works better under low noise conditions.
- We propose a measurement method to comprehensively study the impact of the noise on radio signal representation after Amplitude-Phase transformation. The semantic information represented by the transformed signals positively correlates with the signal noise level.

#### II. RELATED WORK

#### A. DL-based Automatic Modulation Recognition.

Automatic modulation recognition refers to the modulation category identification of the received radio signals. This technology is widely used in spectrum management [21], [22], interference identification [12], and electronic reconnaissance systems [11]. There have been many attempts to perform modulation recognition with Deep Learning-based (DL-based) methods. Hong et al. [23] proposed a two-layer GRU to capture the information from context-level (i.e., time dimension) and feature level. Later, West et al. [24] proposed CLDNN, which combines the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Long Short Term Memory (LSTM) to enhance the featurelevel information extraction. To further improve the feature extraction ability, Zhang et al. [10] utilized a deep residual network model to conduct the classification task, while the training process is time-consuming. Nonetheless, neither of these deep learning-based methods considers signal preprocessing (e.g., noise filtering) according to its particular properties. Previous work [25] presents that special properties like noise often lead to a significant performance drop on DLbased methods. This issue becomes more critical when sufficient signal samples are unavailable (i.e., few-shot situations). Moreover, the DL-based frameworks with insufficient samples often suffer from the overfitting problem, which leads to poor generalization ability and unsatisfied results.

#### B. Few-shot Learning

Typically, traditional FSL techniques are categorized into either inductive or transductive inference, depending on the inference setting. Inductive inference approaches classify individual unlabeled samples, whereas transductive inference ones classify multiple query samples simultaneously. The inductive inference strategies can be further subdivided into:1) Metricoriented methods (e.g., Matching network [18], Prototypical Networks [16], RENet [26]). These techniques aspire to establish a series of projection functions (embedding functions) and metrics that quantify the similarity among samples. 2) Meta-oriented methods (e.g., MAML [15], ProtoMAML [27]). These techniques leverage a model-agnostic meta-learner to develop an efficient base model across multiple training tasks, which can be adapted to a new task with a limited number of training samples via a few gradient steps, resulting in a model with decent generalization ability. 3) Augmentationoriented methods [14], [28]. These methods aim at creating diverse sample generation strategies for unfamiliar classes to foster representation learning. Recently, transductive inference methods (TIM [29], LaplacianShot [30]) have emerged as an appealing approach to tackling few-shot tasks, which have better performance than inductive inference.

Although the above-mentioned state-of-the-art FSL methods have achieved decent results on various vision-based tasks [31], [32], adapting these methods to process radio signal data remains challenges. A few works [10], [33] have recently been proposed to perform few-shot recognition on modulated signals. However, those methods mainly focus on extracting fine-grained information from signals with specific network structures. Zhou *et al.* [34] proposed a GAN-based signal sample generation method to solve the first challenge. However, this generation method only maintains the integrity and consistency of the generated signals, that is, to generate integral signals with a similar pattern. When sufficient samples are unavailable (i.e., low quantity), the generated samples tend to be identical while losing the diversity. In this paper, we propose an efficient and flexible signal data transformation framework that allows the SOTA FSL algorithms can be easily applied to solve the radio signal modulation recognition FSL problem.

#### **III. PROBLEM FORMULATION**

**Background Knowledge.** In wireless communication systems, modulation aims to add information to a set of signals by varying one or more properties of periodic electromagnetic waves (carriers) which can be transmitted [35]. A transmitted time modulation signal r(t) can be illustrated as:

$$r(t) = \mathcal{S}(t) * h(t) \exp[j2\pi\Delta ft + \psi_0] + noise(t)$$
(1)

where \* represents the convolution operation, S(t) denotes the modulated signal, h(t) represents the impulse response of the wireless channel,  $\Delta f$  indicates the carrier frequency offset,  $\psi_0$  signifies the initial phase, and noise(t) refers to the environmental noise.

To facilitate signal information extraction and signal recovery, in-phase signals and the quadrature-phase signal are used to jointly characterize the relevant modulation information, i.e. I-Q data [36]. So we define the received discrete complex signal as  $x_{IQ} = \{x_I, x_Q\}$ , which is sampled from r(t):

$$\{x_I, x_Q\} = sample\{r_I(t), r_Q(t)\}$$

$$\{r_I(t), r_Q(t)\} = \{Re\{r(t)\}, Im\{r(t)\}\}$$
(2)

where  $x_I$  denotes the in-phase signal,  $x_Q$  represents the quadrature-phase signal, Re is the real part and Im indicates the imaginary part. For the automatic modulation classification task, the modulated signal segment x (i.e., sampled from  $x_{IQ}$ ) and its corresponding label y are used for the training procedure (feature extraction).

Automatic Modulation FSL (AMFSL). The AMFSL operates in two phases: 1) on the training stage, a model is trained on a set of base classes, and a new set of novel classes is defined as support set for novel classes learning. 2) Then, a query set with identical classes as the support set is presented for novel classes prediction on the evaluation stage. We define the base training set as  $D_b = \{x^b, y^b\}$  where  $x^b$  is the quadrature modulated signal segment, and the corresponding label  $y^b \subset \mathbb{R}^{C_B}$  belongs to a total of  $C_B$  base classes. The support set is denoted as  $D_s = \{x^s, y^s\}, y^s \subset \mathbb{R}^{C_K}$ , where  $C_K$  is the novel classes, and each class includes N samples. The remaining Q samples in  $C_K$  novel classes form the query set  $D_q = \{x^q, y^q\}, y^q \in \mathbb{R}^{C_K}$  [18]. It should be noticed that the novel classes on support set and query set are disjoint with base training set classes (i.e.,  $C_K \cap C_B = \emptyset$ ). As a result, the objective of the FSL settings could be formulated as follows:

$$min(\epsilon_{error}) = \mathbb{E}_{(x^q, y^q) \sim D_q}[f'(x^q) \neq y^q]$$
(3)



Fig. 3. The structure of the proposed Semantic-Consistent Signal Pre-transformation (ScSP) framework. The ScSP framework consists of two stages of the training paradigm. **Stage 1:** The Base and Support set samples are fed into the noise filtering module for noise removal. Then, the Augmentation module receives the noise-filtered samples for augmentation (i.e., creating two variations of one sample). Finally, the shared weights encoder is optimized by infoNCE loss to extract pattern-consistent representations from the augmented samples. **Stage 2:** The Pre-trained ScSP framework takes the input samples and outputs the domain-specific signal representation for downstream Few-Shot Learning (FSL) algorithm training.

where  $\epsilon_{error}$  denotes the target error on *query* set, and the f' represents the trained FSL using *base* and *support* set.

#### IV. MODULATED SIGNAL PRE-TRANSFORMATION

To extract constant semantic content from the signals, our objective is to optimize the mutual information between two signal segments,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , sharing similar semantics. This can be expressed as follows:

$$I(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{x_1, x_2} p(x_1, x_2) \log(\frac{p(x_1, x_2)}{p(x_1) \cdot p(x_2)}) \quad (4)$$

In order to achieve this, the ScSP framework is designed to minimize the InfoNCE loss (refer to Eq. 18). Figure 3 illustrates the primary elements of the ScSP framework: i) The *Info-preserved Augmentation* module augments the input signals, maintaining the modulation type constant, to assist in the minimization of the InfoNCE loss; ii) The *Adaptive Noise Filtering* module diminishes the impact of non-semantic information (i.e., inherent Gaussian noise). iii) An *Amplitude*-*Phase Feature Enhancement* module transforms the signal into Amplitude and Phase for semantic information enhancement. The last two components are served as the enhancing module for the InfoNCE loss, which aims to maximize the mutual information from two augmented signals to get constant semantic information.

[37] articulates that the effectiveness of InfoNCE in the extraction of semantic information can be significantly enhanced through data augmentation. The enhancements are realized via two primary avenues: 1) Data augmentation serves to expand the volume of training data; 2) It also elevates the count of semantically similar data entries, thereby optimizing the mutual information. However, compare to image-like augmentations, signals' intrinsic properties (e.g., modulation type) are often invisible, making designing proper signal augmentation methods challenging. Inspired by the constellation diagram [38] in the signal processing community, where the representation of the digital modulation scheme is obtained, we draw the axial projection (i.e., similar to constellation diagram) of different signals to investigate the special properties. Figure 4 illustrates the axial projection of signals in three different modulation types. We find it shares similar properties to the constellation diagram where the semantic information of signals is obtained. Therefore, we designed four types of axial projection invariant augmentation to generate information preserved data samples.

#### A. Info-preserved Augmentation

**Flipping.** Our left-right flipping is an asymmetrical adjustment of the signal timing relationship, while the frequency (i.e., modulation information) remains unchanged. Moreover, the left-right flipping will not affect the axial projection to preserve semantic information. For a given quadrature modulated signal x in original length L with t-index time step value x(t) and a flipping augmentation operation  $g_{flip}(x)$ , the flipping operation can be formulated as:

$$g_{flip}(x): x(t) \to x(L-t) \quad \forall t \in [0, L]$$
(5)

**Interception.** The modulation is applied to the whole signal segment so that the intercepted signals still share the same semantic information as the original signal. Therefore, the intercepted signal can be treated as a weaker representation of the original signal since the axial projection of intercepted signal is nearly identical (sparse) to the original signals. For a segment of a quadrature modulated signal, denoted as x, with an initial length L, the process of interception can be represented as:

$$g_{inter}(x, a, L'): x \to x_{a,L'} = [x(a), x(a+1), ..., x(a+L')]$$
(6)

where  $x_{a,L'}$  denotes the intercepted signal, and L' signifies the set interception length. The beginning point of interception, a, should be chosen within the range [0, L - L'].

**Rotation.** To facilitate the illustration of rotation. A quadrature modulated signal/complex signal [39] segment x can be represented as the in-phase and quadrature-phase parts of



Fig. 4. In the right part of the figure, we show the process of obtaining a 2D modulated signal from the 1D real and imaginary parts of the signal, which leads to the axial projection. In the left part of the figure, we show three types of modulation, each with six axial projections.



Fig. 5. The visualization of signal rotation operation

signals, respectively. The relationship between these signals is given by:

$$x = x_I + j \cdot x_Q \tag{7}$$

where j is a imaginary number, that is,  $j = \sqrt{-1}$ .

Figure 4 presents that the semantic information of the modulated signals is rotation invariant, such that character is used in the signal generation. Hence, we utilize the Euler formula [40] for rotating the initial signals (Refer to figure 5). For a signal modulated in quadrature, we can represent the rotation operation as follows:

$$g_{rotate}(x,\theta) = x \cdot e^{\theta \pi j} = (x_R + j \cdot x_I)(\cos(\theta \pi) + j \cdot \sin(\theta \pi))$$
(8)

where  $g_{rotate}(x,\theta)$  signifies the rotation augmentation operation, and  $\theta \sim U(0,2\pi)$  illustrates the rotation angle originating from the uniform distribution.

**Conjugate transformation.** Inspired by the generation of constellation diagram, we develop conjugate transformation as follows:

$$g_{conjugate}(x) = x_I - j \cdot x_Q \quad where \quad j = \sqrt{-1}$$
 (9)

We only change the in-phase part  $x_I$  of signal and its semantic information is preserved.

#### B. Adaptive Noise Filtering

This subsection introduces a parameterized Gaussian-based noise filter that can filter noise for various radio signals. Previous study [13] presents that adding a Gaussian noise filtering module will vastly reduce the negative effect of noise and improve the final recognition result. The Gaussian filter [41] can suppress high-frequency information to a certain degree, thereby generating smoother signals with less high-frequency noise. However, the conventional Gaussian noise filtering approach is ineffective in addressing the diverse changes in noise intensity and signal types when using fixed parameters. Hence, we transform the parameter of the conventional Gaussian noise filter into a learnable layer, enabling it to adaptively capture various patterns of noise. The Gaussian filtering operation F(x) can be formulated as:

$$f(x) = x * G \quad s.t. \quad G(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{n^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
 (10)

and G(n) represents the *n*-indexed variable of the Gaussian filter kernel. The parameter  $\sigma$  can be fine-tuned through learning to accommodate varying signal types. Further, the ideal filter  $f_{\theta}^*(x)$  should display equivariance [37] to the augmentations g(x), which implies that the order of augmentation and filtering can be interchanged without altering the outcome:

$$g(f^*_{\theta}(x)) = f^*_{\theta}(g(x)) \tag{11}$$

Consequently, adopting this presumption, we employ the parameterized filter  $f_{\theta}$  to mimic the optimal filter by reducing the constraint loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{G} = \|g(f_{\theta}(x)) - f_{\theta}(g(x))\|_{2}^{2}$$
(12)

#### C. Amplitude-Phase Feature Enhancement

Rajendran *et al.* [42] observed that converting modulated signals to Amplitude-Phase format would benefit the representation learning of DL models. Furthermore, for modulation types like Amplitude-shift keying (ASK) and Phase-shift keying (PSK), the modulated signal S(t) uses the changes in



Fig. 6. The transformation of signals into amplitude & phase (right).

amplitude and phase to carry the information of the binary bit stream  $s_b(t)$ , that is:

$$ASK : S(t) = A_c s_b(t) exp[j2\pi f_c t],$$
  

$$PSK : S(t) = A_c exp[j2\pi f_c t + j2\pi (\Delta_p s_b(t) + \psi_0)]$$
(13)

where  $A_c$  denotes the carriers amplitude,  $f_c$  represents the carrier wave and  $\Delta_p$  is the phase modulation factor. From Eq. (13), we can observe that the instantaneous amplitude A(t) and instantaneous phase  $\psi(t)$  of the carriers are linearly dependent with  $s_b(t)$ , which can be represented by:

$$A(t) = A_c s_b(t) \propto s_b(t)$$
  

$$\psi(t) = \Delta_p s_b(t) + \psi_0 \propto \Delta_p s_b(t)$$
(14)

Eq. (13)(14) demonstrate that the variation of A(t) and  $\psi(t)$  carries the main information of the modulated signal. In this case, we present the Amplitude-Phase feature enhancement module to transform signals into amplitude and phase, allowing the downstream deep learning model to learn their features better. The discrete amplitude  $A_r$  and discrete phase  $\psi_r$  modal of the signal at the receiver side can be extracted by following operations:

$$A_r = \sqrt{x_I^2 + x_Q^2}$$
  

$$\psi_r = \arctan(x_Q/(x_I + \epsilon))$$
(15)

where  $\epsilon$  denotes the microconstants. More specifically, Figure 6 illustrates the procedure of the transformation on the axial projection of signals. The point on the axial projection is represented by abscissa, and the ordinate is converted to radial length and angle.

Finally, we leverage the concatenation operation to extract information from discrete amplitude and discrete phase simultaneously, which can be represented as:

$$E(x) = concat\{A_r, \psi_r\}$$
(16)

where E(x) represents the enhancement operation. *concat* denotes the concatenation operation.

The transformed signal has a simpler representation than it is presented as abscissa and ordinate. With the simpler representation, the information of the axial projection is easier captured by the feature extractor.

### D. InfoNCE loss-based ScSP pre-training

The pre-training of the ScSP is formulated as a unsupervised learning procedure. Mini-batch signal segments are first sampled from the base and support set to perform infopreserved augmentation. Then, the augmented signal segments will be processed by adaptive noise filtering and amplitudephase feature enhancement modules to remove the carried noise and enhance the signal representation. Lastly, a shared weight parametric model (e.g., CNN) is employed to transform the signals into advanced representations for training. It's noteworthy that all components in the ScSP framework undergo concurrent training, optimized by the constraint loss  $\mathcal{L}_G$  and InfoNCE loss  $\mathcal{L}_C$ . This can be formulated as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_C + \mathcal{L}_G \tag{17}$$

Specifically, the InfoNCE loss could be formulated as:

$$L_{C} = -E_{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{i}, \mathbf{x}_{2}^{i} \sim \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2})} \{ \log \frac{\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{i}, \mathbf{x}_{2}^{i})}{\sum_{\substack{j \neq i}}^{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{i}, \mathbf{x}_{2}^{i}) \}} \}$$

$$h(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \exp\{ sim[g_{\theta}(x_{1}), g_{\theta}(x_{2})] / \alpha \}$$
(18)

we define the ScSP framework as  $g_{\theta}$ , and the temperature parameter is represented by  $\alpha$ . We measure the similarity between u and v using the cosine similarity, which is defined as  $sim(u,v) = u^{\mathrm{T}}v/||u|| ||v||$ , serving as the dot product of  $l_2$  normalized u and v. Augmented samples drawn from an identical signal,  $x_1^i, x_2^i$ , are deemed as positives, while augmented samples from different signals, denoted as  $x_1^i, x_2^j$ , are considered negatives. After the pre-training, the trained ScSP framework will extract the representation of all signal segments from the base, support and query set for further few shot learning usage.

#### V. EXPERIMENT

#### A. Datasets

Our proposed ScSP framework is assessed across three benchmark datasets: signal-128 [7], signal-512, and signal-1024 [46]. The numbers in the dataset names correspond to the lengths of the respective signals (e.g., signal-128 represents the signals with 128 lengths).

**Signal-128** represents a comprehensive public radio dataset, comprising eight digital variations (BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16QAM, 64QAM, BFSK, CPFSK, PAM4) and three analog ones (WB-FM, AM-SSB, and AM-DSB). Each modulation type is associated with 20 distinct Signal-to-Noise Ratios (SNRs), each accompanied by 1000 samples. SNR, expressed as *Signal/Noise*, is a key measure of signal quality, where a high SNR value signifies less noise interference in the signal.

**Signal-512** is a private dataset that factors in several complex aspects of communication systems, such as carrier phase, pulse shaping, frequency offsets, and noise. The dataset includes 12 different modulation types, namely, BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, OQPSK, 2FSK, 4FSK, 8FSK, 16QKAM, 32QAM, 64QAM, 4PAM and 8PAM. The SNR of each modulation type is uniformly distributed within a range from -20dB to 30dB. Each data sample comprises 64 symbols, oversampled at a rate of 8, leading to a total of 512 sampling points for each sample.

**Signal-1024** is a publicly available radio signal dataset comprising 24 distinct types of both digital and analog modulations. These modulation methods include OOK, 4ASK, 8ASK,

	Signal-128				Signal-512				Signal-1024				
Method	1-	shot	5-	5-shot		1-shot		5-shot		1-shot		5-shot	
	Origin	+ScSP	Origin	+ScSP	Origin	+ScSP	Origin	+ScSP	Origin	+ScSP	Origin	+ScSP	
CNN Backbone													
MatchNet(NeurIPS,2016) [18]	59.99%	+13.14%	72.77%	+9.33%	40.30%	+0.24%	41.11%	+3.29%	80.57%	+7.87%	82.15%	+8.81%	
MAML(ICML,2017) [15]	30.39%	+27.56%	58.37%	+5.55%	23.35%	+0.15%	24.73%	+12.19%	58.37%	+15.97%	78.14%	+1.63%	
ProtoNet(NeurIPS,2017) [16]	59.55%	+12.31%	68.83%	+13.61%	40.74%	-0.13%	40.98%	+2.97%	80.76%	+4.58%	81.65%	+9.03%	
RelatNet(CVPR,2018) [17]	48.23%	+20.81%	67.05%	+14.18%	36.25%	+1.61%	39.78%	+2.31%	61.91%	+18.65%	80.07%	+8.12%	
Tim-GD(NeurIPS,2020) [29]	62.33%	+13.18%	74.14%	+8.11%	40.29%	+0.06%	42.72%	+3.42%	80.94%	+5.24%	81.27%	+10.71%	
Tim-ADM(NeurIPS,2020) [29]	67.46%	+10.21%	75.14%	+7.63%	40.02%	+0.10%	42.73%	+3.66%	80.91%	+0.96%	81.06%	+11.39%	
ReNet(ICCV,2021) [26]	52.88%	+2.84%	70.04%	+7.77%	35.93%	+0.28%	40.54%	+0.78%	75.46%	+4.44%	82.00%	+10.59%	
CM(TNNLS,2022) [43]	41.58%	+22.01%	47.29%	+7.43%	23.93%	+1.67%	38.63%	+8.84%	53.28%	+26.16%	34.68%	+19.19%	
Meta-Proto(TNNLS,2022) [44]	34.27%	+7.81%	36.06%	+8.5%	41.78%	+14.32%	42.32%	+14.29%	71.79%	+6.09%	77.11%	+0.97%	
DFR(TNNLS,2024) [45]	64.41%	+6.72%	68.27%	+7.65%	73.55%	+1.35%	75.71%	+6.90%	63.53%	+4.70%	70.62%	+1.45%	
ResNet Backbone													
MatchNet(NeurIPS,2016) [18]	61.23%	+9.46%	74.94%	+8.71%	40.49%	+0.30%	40.91%	+2.46%	79.94%	+2.35%	82.76%	+7.54%	
MAML(ICML,2017) [15]	35.77%	+20.88%	59.27%	+2.46%	23.01%	+3.43%	28.80%	+11.99%	59.43%	+15.43%	72.64%	+3.75%	
ProtoNet(NeurIPS,2017) [16]	57.01%	+15.17%	70.65%	+12.34%	39.98%	+0.12%	41.16%	+3.86%	80.29%	+0.25%	81.40%	+8.33%	
RelatNet(CVPR,2018) [17]	45.87%	+21.52%	65.36%	+15.75%	36.03%	+0.44%	36.42%	+4.32%	49.21%	+31.65%	79.98%	+7.67%	
Tim-GD(NeurIPS,2020) [29]	60.15%	+13.54%	73.95%	+9.70%	41.38%	+0.60%	42.22%	+3.57%	80.47%	+0.45%	81.60%	+9.55%	
Tim-ADM(NeurIPS,2020) [29]	62.17%	+14.08%	75.97%	+7.82%	41.49%	+0.40%	41.89%	+4.56%	80.28%	+0.54%	81.57%	+9.94%	
ReNet(ICCV,2021) [26]	66.51%	+7.72%	72.74%	+3.72%	38.22%	+0.30%	40.80%	+1.16%	78.40%	+3.07%	82.09%	+8.12%	
CM(TNNLS,2022) [43]	32.07%	+29.27%	58.96%	+12.33%	24.29%	+0.65%	38.11%	+8.14%	58.22%	+21.22%	74.63%	+8.52%	
Meta-Proto(TNNLS,2022) [44]	33.79%	+7.81%	38.02%	+4.02%	45.27%	<u>+7.65%</u>	48.49%	+9.49%	70.21%	+8.19%	75.10%	+3.64%	
DFR(TNNLS,2024) [45]	72.29%	+11.31%	76.01%	+12.50%	67.69%	+2.91%	73.63%	+5.76%	63.05%	+2.66%	64.38%	+5.02%	
					TABLE	I							

5-WAY FEW-SHOT CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY ON THREE BENCHMARK DATASETS. "ORIGIN" SIGNIFIES THE RESULT OF FEW-SHOT LEARNING WITHOUT APPLYING THE SCSP FRAMEWORK, WHILE "+SCSP" IMPLIES THE OUTCOME OF FEW-SHOT LEARNING INCORPORATING THE SCSP FRAMEWORK. '+' OR '-' SYMBOL INDICATES A RESPECTIVE INCREASE OR DECREASE IN PERFORMANCE. PEAK PERFORMANCE IS HIGHLIGHTED USING AN UNDERLINE ('\_').

BPSK, QPSK, 8PSK, 16PSK, 32PSK, 16APSK, 32APSK, 64APSK, 128APSK, 16QAM, 32QAM, 64QAM, 128QAM, 256QAM, AM-SSB-WC, AM-SSB-SC, AM-DSB-WC, AM-DSB-SC, FM, GMSK, and OQPSK. The data in Signal-1024 was obtained from environments characterized by high SNR and low fading, which pose complex signal classification challenges. The dataset is structured such that each modulation method is represented across 26 unique SNRs, with 4096 samples provided for each SNR.

#### B. Experiment setup

**Evaluation Models.** We carried out the implementation of the proposed ScSP framework using Pytorch [47] and performed the training process on a Tesla V100. To evaluate the performance of our ScSP framework, we employed six existing FSL models for comparison. These included MAML, MatchNet, ProtoNet, RelatNet, TIM-GD, TIM-ADM, and Renet. Previous studies [48], [49] present that decent performance of radio signal recognition can be achieved without a complex network structure. Moreover, the complex network structure (e.g., ResNet-18) with ample parameters may suffer from the over-fitting problem when samples are insufficient, which affects the recognition performance. Therefore, we followed these studies and replaced the backbone of existing FSL models with the modified CNN and ResNet.

**Experimental setting.** Most radio signal datasets have very limited classes, for example Signal-128 only has eight digital modulation classes and three analog modulations. The later may impossible to conduct FSL task, due to the lack of base classes. Therefore, for all following experiments we select two classes as the base training set, and the remaining classes form the support and query set. This setting is more challenge than that with sufficient base classes. Furthermore, we include the experimental results in the supplementary material where the number of base classes is larger than that of query classes. Following previous studies [50], our experiments are carried



Fig. 7. Ablation study on single Info-preserved augmentation operation under 5-way-5-shot setting. The dot line indicates the average performance change, and 'I', 'R', 'C', 'F' represents the 'Interception', 'Rotation', 'Conjugation', and 'Flipping' operation respectively.

out in two different setups: 5-way-5-shot and 5-way-1-shot. In these settings, we deal with five new classes, where each has only 5 and 1 instances, correspondingly. Each dataset is explored under four distinct SNRs: -4 dB,0 dB, 10 dB and 18 dB

**Training setting.** We employ the Adam optimizer [51] with a learning rate set at 0.001 to train our framework. We limit the maximum training epoch to 50. Depending on the setup, the batch size for input varies - we use 70 for the 5-way-5shot configuration and 42 for the 5-way-1-shot arrangement.



Fig. 8. Ablation study on stacking single Info-preserved augmentation operation under 5-way-5-shot setting. The experiments are conducted on three datasets with -4dB and 18dB SNRs condition. The '/' indicates the combination (e.g., I/R means the combination of "Interception" and "Rotation")



Fig. 9. Ablation study on 5-way-5-shot task for three datasets. 'A', 'F', 'E' represents the augmentation, noise filtering, and feature enhancement module, respectively. The dot line indicates the average performance change. The '/' indicates the combination (e.g., A/F means the combination of augmentation and noise filtering)

#### C. Experimental results

Table I presents the efficacy of our ScSP framework in enhancing the performance of existing SOTA FSL methods in both 5-way-1-shot and 5-way-5-shot modulation recognition tasks with varying backbone structures. Notably, our MSP framework, in combination with RelatNet, yields the highest performance improvement in six tasks, averaging a growth of 18.33%.

Additionally, we note a marginal performance enhancement in the 5-way-1-shot task utilizing the signal-512 dataset. A slight performance decline of approximately 0.13% is observed for ProtoNet with a CNN backbone. A potential explanation for this phenomenon could be the significant intraclass gaps within the signal-512 dataset, making it challenging to learn the statistical properties of different categories from a solitary sample. This hypothesis is further substantiated as the performance gain on the signal-512 dataset improves when the number of samples is increased (i.e., 5-way-5-shot).

#### D. Ablation study on augmentation operation

In this subsection, we carefully study the impact of each operation in augmentation for downstream FSL models. Our evaluation is performed on the aforementioned three datasets with -4dB and 18 dB SNR, respectively.

**Metrics.** The *performance change* is presented as a percentage that is calculated by the FSL+ScSP recognition accuracy minus FSL recognition accuracy.

Figure 7 shows each operation of ScSP can improve the performance gain for any downstream FSL models. Additionally, we observed that rotation operation outperforms other operations, which brings the top improvement in five out

of six groups. One possible assumption is that the semantic information of most signal modulation categories is rotationinvariant (i.e., mentioned in section IV-A). Thus, the rotation operation can well preserve signals' semantic information while improving its diversity by randomly selecting the rotation angles. As a result, this operation outperforms other augmentation methods.

Furthermore, we observe that the RelatNet obtains more significant performance gains than other methods. This may be caused by the sensitivity of the complex network to the diversity of training samples. Also, the sample spaces generated by our proposed augmentation operations do not overlap. Therefore, we believe that the different augmentation operations can be stacked to generate more samples. To verify the effectiveness of augmentation operation stacking in improving model performance, we test the performance gain by stacking augmentation operation sequentially.

From Figure 8, we can observe that the performance gain of the different models is increased with the stacking of augmentation operations which presents the importance of sample diversity to representation learning. Moreover, we can observe that the performance gain in -4dB is relatively lower than that in 18dB on the signal-512 dataset. We conjecture that the noise factor in the signal-512 dataset affects augmentation operations' abilities.

**Takeaway.** The aforementioned results present that both single and stacking augmentation operations can boost the performance of few-shot recognition under different noise conditions. Furthermore, the stacking of four augmentation operations can generate more diverse samples.



Fig. 10. Latent space visualization of the query set classes based on the feature extractor trained on base set classes. a) The raw signal representations; b) Pre-trained ResNet (Supervised) representation; c) Contrastive Pre-trained (Unsupervised) representation; d) Pre-trained ScSP (Unsupervised) representation.

#### E. Ablation study on ScSP framework

In order to evaluate the individual and combined efficacy of components within the ScSP framework, we conduct experiments that isolate each component and its combinations. Figure 9 shows that 1) single component often (but not always) contributes positively to the task. For example, under the high SNR, where the input signals contain less noise, the improvement of the single noise filtering module is marginal. Furthermore, the feature enhancement might cause negative effects, especially under a low SNR (i.e., input signals contain more noise). One possible conjecture is that the FSL is overfitting to the enhanced base set when the sample is insufficient. 2) The combination of 'A,F' and 'A,F,E' works better under high noise and low noise conditions, respectively. Specifically, the enhancement module contributes positively to the FSL task when signals contain less noise, while it brings negative impacts in high noise situations. Our conjecture is that the noise might affect the carried information in signals (e.g., in amplitude or phase), which makes the feature enchantment module amplify the negative effects of the noise. We will describe this effect in the next section.

#### F. SNR investigation

Following the conjecture from the previous section, that is, the feature enchantment module amplifies the negative effects of the noise, we conduct experiments for the combination of (A/F/E) and (A/E) under four different SNR scenarios(i.e., -4dB, 0dB, 10dB, 18dB). From figure 11 (a), we observed that the accuracy difference between A/F/E and A/E are gradually decreased, accompanied by the decrease of noises. This phenomenon presents that when the signal contains less noise, the feature enhancement module starts to bring a positive effect. Since the feature enhancement module provides a transformation to convert signals to a better representation processed by deep learning models, we assume the semantic information represented by the transformed signals has a positive correlation with SNR.

Therefore, we define the effective information rate (EIR) for a noisy signal x as the normalized mutual information [52] between a noisy signal x and a corresponding pure signal  $\hat{x}$  to measure the correlation between them (i.e., NMI =



Fig. 11. The SNR investigation experiments: (a) SNR investigation; (b-d) Effective information measurement on three datasets. "Diff" indicates the difference between the accuracy of (A/F/E) and (A/F) combination, while the "EIR" denotes the effective information rate. The 'A\_P' represents the Amplitude-Phase.

 $I(x; \hat{x}) / \max\{H(x), H(\hat{x})\}\)$ , where *I* denotes the mutual information and *H* represents the information entropy. Next, we use CLUB [53] to estimate *I* and *H*, and the measurement results are shown in figure 11 (b)(c)(d).

Figure 11 (b)(c)(d) show that EIR has a positive correlation with SNR. The transformed signals (i.e., Amplitude-Phase) are affected more by the noise. For example, Figure 11 (b) illustrates that, under SNR:5 condition, the EIR are 0.93 and 0.52, corresponding to Original and Amplitude-Phase. The large difference presents that the noise affects the carrying information in Amplitude-Phase, making representation learning more challenging. We believe this is the reason why the feature enhancement module does not work effectively when the SNR becomes low.

#### G. Latent visualization

To further verify the effectiveness of our ScSP framework– (whether a better intra-class concentration is provided), we applied t-SNE to generate visualizations for latent features on the Signal-128 dataset. Figure 10 illustrates the t-SNE plot for the raw signal data (Figure 10a), ResNet pre-trained feature (Figure 10b), contrastive pre-trained feature (Figure 10c), and ScSP pre-trained (Figure 10d). We can witness that the clusters of latent features under ScSP pre-training (Figure 9d) are more distinct than the ResNet pre-training (Figure 9b). Furthermore, we observe that the ScSP pre-training latent features present more organized results (with intra-class and inter-class concentration) than the normal contrastive pre-trained latent features (Figure 9c) which demonstrates the effectiveness of our ScSP framework.

#### VI. CONCLUSIONS

We introduce a novel radio signal pre-processing framework, ScSP, designed to supplement various state-of-theart Few-Shot Learning models for the task of modulation recognition. The ScSP framework employs Info-preserved augmentations, generating a variety of signal segments and eliminating associated noises. A feature enhancement module within the framework aids in simplifying the signal representations, thereby fostering efficient representation learning. Comprehensive experimental outcomes validate the efficiency of the proposed ScSP framework.

#### REFERENCES

- L. Da Xu, W. He, and S. Li, "Internet of things in industries: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on industrial informatics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 2233– 2243, 2014.
- [2] X. Zhang, Y. Ma, H. Qi, Y. Gao, Z. Xie, Z. Xie, M. Zhang, X. Wang, G. Wei, and Z. Li, "Distributed compressive sensing augmented wideband spectrum sharing for cognitive iot," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 3234–3245, 2018.
- [3] G. Aceto, D. Ciuonzo, A. Montieri, and A. Pescapè, "Mimetic: Mobile encrypted traffic classification using multimodal deep learning," *Computer networks*, vol. 165, p. 106944, 2019.
- [4] S. He, K. Shi, C. Liu, B. Guo, J. Chen, and Z. Shi, "Collaborative sensing in internet of things: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 2022.
- [5] Z. Yang, L. He, H. Yu, C. Zhao, P. Cheng, and J. Chen, "Detecting plc intrusions using control invariants," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 9, no. 12, pp. 9934–9947, 2022.
- [6] H. Pu, L. He, P. Cheng, M. Sun, and J. Chen, "Security of industrial robots: Vulnerabilities, attacks, and mitigations," *IEEE Network*, 2022.
- [7] T. J. O'Shea, J. Corgan, and T. C. Clancy, "Convolutional radio modulation recognition networks," in *International conference on engineering applications of neural networks*. Springer, 2016, pp. 213–226.
- [8] T. Li, Z. Hong, Q. Cai, L. Yu, Z. Wen, and R. Yang, "Bissiam: Bispectrum siamese network based contrastive learning for uav anomaly detection," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2021.
- [9] C. Shahriar, S. Sodagari, and T. C. Clancy, "Performance of pilot jamming on mimo channels with imperfect synchronization," in 2012 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC). IEEE, 2012, pp. 898–902.
- [10] Z. Zhang, Y. Li, Q. Zhai, Y. Li, and M. Gao, "Few-shot learning for fine-grained signal modulation recognition based on foreground segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 2281–2292, 2022.
- [11] Z. Zhang, C. Wang, C. Gan, S. Sun, and M. Wang, "Automatic modulation classification using convolutional neural network with features fusion of spwvd and bjd," *IEEE Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 469–478, 2019.
- [12] M. Schmidt, D. Block, and U. Meier, "Wireless interference identification with convolutional neural networks," in 2017 IEEE 15th International Conference on Industrial Informatics (INDIN). IEEE, 2017, pp. 180–185.
- [13] Y. Zeng, M. Zhang, F. Han, Y. Gong, and J. Zhang, "Spectrum analysis and convolutional neural network for automatic modulation recognition," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 929–932, 2019.

- [14] K. Li, Y. Zhang, K. Li, and Y. Fu, "Adversarial feature hallucination networks for few-shot learning," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2020, pp. 13 470–13 479.
- [15] C. Finn, P. Abbeel, and S. Levine, "Model-agnostic meta-learning for fast adaptation of deep networks," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2017, pp. 1126–1135.
- [16] J. Snell, K. Swersky, and R. Zemel, "Prototypical networks for few-shot learning," Advances in neural information processing systems, vol. 30, 2017.
- [17] F. Sung, Y. Yang, Z. Li, X. Tao, and T. M. Hospedales, "Learning to compare: Relation network for few-shot learning," in 2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2018.
- [18] O. Vinyals, C. Blundell, T. Lillicrap, D. Wierstra et al., "Matching networks for one shot learning," Advances in neural information processing systems, vol. 29, 2016.
- [19] J. Mitrovic, B. McWilliams, and M. Rey, "Less can be more in contrastive learning," 2020.
- [20] M. Gutmann and A. Hyvärinen, "Noise-contrastive estimation: A new estimation principle for unnormalized statistical models," in *Proceedings* of the thirteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 2010, pp. 297–304.
- [21] I. F. Akyildiz, W.-Y. Lee, M. C. Vuran, and S. Mohanty, "A survey on spectrum management in cognitive radio networks," *IEEE Communications magazine*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 40–48, 2008.
- [22] Y. Zhao, Z. Hong, Y. Luo, G. Wang, and L. Pu, "Prediction-based spectrum management in cognitive radio networks," *IEEE Systems Journal*, pp. 1–12, 2017.
- [23] D. Hong, Z. Zhang, and X. Xu, "Automatic modulation classification using recurrent neural networks," in 2017 3rd IEEE International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC). IEEE, 2017, pp. 695–700.
- [24] N. E. West and T. O'Shea, "Deep architectures for modulation recognition," in 2017 IEEE International Symposium on Dynamic Spectrum Access Networks (DySPAN). IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [25] A. Ali and F. Yangyu, "Automatic modulation classification using deep learning based on sparse autoencoders with nonnegativity constraints," *IEEE signal processing letters*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1626–1630, 2017.
- [26] D. Kang, H. Kwon, J. Min, and M. Cho, "Relational embedding for few-shot classification," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 8822–8833.
- [27] E. Triantafillou, T. Zhu, V. Dumoulin, P. Lamblin, U. Evci, K. Xu, R. Goroshin, C. Gelada, K. Swersky, P.-A. Manzagol *et al.*, "Metadataset: A dataset of datasets for learning to learn from few examples," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.03096*, 2019.
- [28] E. Schwartz, L. Karlinsky, J. Shtok, S. Harary, M. Marder, A. Kumar, R. Feris, R. Giryes, and A. Bronstein, "Delta-encoder: an effective sample synthesis method for few-shot object recognition," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 31, 2018.
- [29] M. Boudiaf, I. Ziko, J. Rony, J. Dolz, P. Piantanida, and I. Ben Ayed, "Information maximization for few-shot learning," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, pp. 2445–2457, 2020.
- [30] I. Ziko, J. Dolz, E. Granger, and I. B. Ayed, "Laplacian regularized few-shot learning," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 11660–11670.
- [31] B. Kang, Z. Liu, X. Wang, F. Yu, J. Feng, and T. Darrell, "Fewshot object detection via feature reweighting," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2019, pp. 8420–8429.
- [32] Z. Peng, Z. Li, J. Zhang, Y. Li, G.-J. Qi, and J. Tang, "Few-shot image recognition with knowledge transfer," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2019, pp. 441–449.
- [33] Z. Zhang, Y. Li, and M. Gao, "Few-shot learning of signal modulation recognition based on attention relation network," in 2020 28th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO). IEEE, 2021, pp. 1372– 1376.
- [34] Z. Huaji, B. Jing, W. Yiran, J. Licheng, S. ZHENG, S. Weiguo, X. Jie, and Y. Xiaoniu, "Few-shot electromagnetic signal classification: A data union augmentation method," *Chinese Journal of Aeronautics*, 2021.
- [35] L. Peng, J. Zhang, M. Liu, and A. Hu, "Deep learning based rf fingerprint identification using differential constellation trace figure," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 1091– 1095, 2019.
- [36] B. Flowers, R. M. Buehrer, and W. C. Headley, "Evaluating adversarial evasion attacks in the context of wireless communications," *IEEE*

Transactions on Information Forensics and Security, vol. 15, pp. 1102–1113, 2019.

- [37] T. Chen, S. Kornblith, M. Norouzi, and G. Hinton, "A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 1597–1607.
- [38] C. Zhendong, J. Weining, X. Changbo, and L. Min, "Modulation recognition based on constellation diagram for m-qam signals," in 2013 IEEE 11th International Conference on Electronic Measurement & Instruments, vol. 1. IEEE, 2013, pp. 70–74.
- [39] O. Monerris-Belda, R. C. Marín, M. R. Jodar, E. Diaz-Caballero, C. A. Guillén, J. Petit, V. E. Boria, B. Gimeno, and D. Raboso, "High power rf discharge detection technique based on the in-phase and quadrature signals," *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 5429–5438, 2021.
- [40] J. Dufourd, "Polyhedra genus theorem and euler formula: A hypermapformalized intuitionistic proof," *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 403, no. 2, pp. 133–159, 2008.
- [41] J. Issac, M. Wüthrich, C. G. Cifuentes, J. Bohg, S. Trimpe, and S. Schaal, "Depth-based object tracking using a robust gaussian filter," in 2016 *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 608–615.
- [42] S. Rajendran, W. Meert, D. Giustiniano, V. Lenders, and S. Pollin, "Deep learning models for wireless signal classification with distributed lowcost spectrum sensors," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 433–445, 2018.
- [43] P. Tian, W. Li, and Y. Gao, "Consistent meta-regularization for better meta-knowledge in few-shot learning," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 33, no. 12, pp. 7277–7288, 2021.
- [44] R.-Q. Wang, X.-Y. Zhang, and C.-L. Liu, "Meta-prototypical learning for domain-agnostic few-shot recognition," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 33, no. 11, pp. 6990–6996, 2021.
- [45] H. Cheng, Y. Wang, H. Li, A. C. Kot, and B. Wen, "Disentangled feature representation for few-shot image classification," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 2023.
- [46] T. J. O'Shea, T. Roy, and T. C. Clancy, "Over the air deep learning based radio signal classification," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1–1, 2017.
- [47] A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, L. Antiga *et al.*, "Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 32, 2019.
- [48] L. J. Wong and S. McPherson, "Explainable neural network-based modulation classification via concept bottleneck models," in 2021 IEEE 11th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC), 2021, pp. 0191–0196.
- [49] X. Lu, M. Tao, X. Fu, G. Gui, T. Ohtsuki, and H. Sari, "Lightweight network design based on resnet structure for modulation recognition," in 2021 IEEE 94th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2021-Fall), 2021, pp. 1–5.
- [50] K. Li, Y. Zhang, K. Li, and Y. Fu, "Adversarial feature hallucination networks for few-shot learning," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2020, pp. 13 470–13 479.
- [51] Z. Zhang, "Improved adam optimizer for deep neural networks," in 2018 IEEE/ACM 26th International Symposium on Quality of Service (IWQoS). IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–2.
- [52] T. O. Kvålseth, "On normalized mutual information: measure derivations and properties," *Entropy*, vol. 19, no. 11, p. 631, 2017.
- [53] P. Cheng, W. Hao, S. Dai, J. Liu, Z. Gan, and L. Carin, "Club: A contrastive log-ratio upper bound of mutual information," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2020, pp. 1779–1788.

#### VII. BIOGRAPHY SECTION



and IoT security.

**Jie Su** received the B.S. degree in computer science and technology from China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, China, in 2017, and the M.S. degree in data analytics from the University of Southampton (Hons.), Southampton, U.K., in 2018, and the Ph.D. degree from Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, U.K., in 2023. He is currently an assistant professor at the Institute of Cyberspace Security and College of Information Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou. His research interests include deep learning, signal processing,



**Peng Sun** (Student Member, IEEE) received the B.Eng. degree in Electronic Information Engineering from Zhejiang University of Technology, China, in 2023. He is currently pursuing a joint Ph.D. degree in Computer Science at Zhejiang University and Westlake University, China. His current research interests include optimization and generalization theory in machine learning, as well as representation learning theory.



**Yuting Jiang** is currently pursuing her MSc. degree in the College of Information Engineering at Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China. Her current research interests focus on deep learning applications, signal processing and generation.



**Zhenyu Wen** (Member, IEEE) received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in computer science from Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, U.K., in 2011 and 2016, respectively. He is currently a Professor with the Institute of Cyberspace Security and college of Information Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, China. His current research interests include IoT, crowd sources, AI system, and cloud computing. For his contributions to the area of scalable data management for the internet-of-things, he was awarded the the IEEE TCSC Award for

Excellence in Scalable Computing (Early Career Researchers) in 2020.



Fangda Guo received the BE degree in software engineering from Northeastern University, China, in 2013, and double MS degrees both in software engineering and computer engineering from Northeastern University, China, and the University of Pavia, Italy, respectively. He is currently working toward a PhD degree in the School of Computer Science and Engineering at Northeastern University, China. His research interests include graph databases, LBSN, social network analysis and computer vision.



Yiming Wu was born in 1996. PhD, associate professor, master supervisor. Member of CCF. Her main research interests include data-driven security, black industry mining, and cybercrime research.



**Rajiv Ranjan** is a Full professor in Computing Science at Newcastle University, United Kingdom. Before moving to Newcastle University, he was Julius Fellow (2013-2015), Senior Research Scientist and Project Leader in the Digital Productivity and Services Flagship of Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO C Australian Government's Premier Research Agency). Prior to that he was a Senior Research Associate (Lecturer level B) in the School of Computer Science and Engineering, University of New South Wales (UNSW).

Prof. Ranjan has a PhD (2009) from the department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, the University of Melbourne.



Zhen Hong received the B.S. degree from Zhejiang University of Technology (China) and University of Tasmania (Australia) in 2006, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from the Zhejiang University of Technology (ZJUT) in Jan. 2012. He is a full professor with the Institute of Cyberspace Security, and College of Information Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, China. Before joining to ZJUT, he was an associate professor with the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering & Automation, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, China. He has visited at the

Sensorweb Lab, Department of Computer Science, Georgia State University in 2011. He also has been at CAP Research Group, School of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology as a research scholar in 2016 to 2018. His research interests include Internet of things, wireless sensor networks, cyberspace security, and data analytics. He received the first Zhejiang Provincial Young Scientists Title in 2013 and the Zhejiang Provincial New Century 151 Talent Project in 2014. He is a member of IEEE, and senior member of CCF and CAA, and serves on the Youth Committee of Chinese Association of Automation and Blockchain Committee and CCF YOCSEF, respectively.



Yefeng Zheng (Fellow, IEEE) received the B.E. and M.E. degrees from Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, in 1998 and 2001, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, in 2005. After graduation, he joined Siemens Corporate Research, Princeton, NJ, USA. He is currently the Director and the Distinguished Scientist with the Tencent Jarvis Laboratory, Shenzhen, China, leading the company's initiative on medical AI. His research interests include medical image analysis, graph data mining, and deep learn-

ing. Dr. Zheng is a fellow of American Institute for Medical and Biological Engineering (AIMBE).



Haoran Duan (Member, IEEE) received the M.S. degree (Hons.) in data science from Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, U.K., in 2019, and the Ph.D. degree from Durham University, Durham, U.K., in 2024. He was a Research Student at the OpenLab, Newcastle University. He is currently a Post-Doctoral Research Associate with the Networked and Ubiquitous Systems Engineering Group, School of Computing, Newcastle University, working on deep learning applications. His current research interests focus on the applications and the-

ories of deep learning.



Yawen Huang received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees from the Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, U.K., in 2015 and 2018, respectively. She is currently a Senior Scientist with the Tencent Jarvis Laboratory, Shenzhen, China. Her research interests include computer vision, machine learning, medical imaging, deep learning, and practical AI for computer aided diagnosis.